RULE 13 ELECTRONIC ~~LOCAL~~ COURT RECORD SYSTEMS

Preamble

The purpose of this rule is twofold: to provide guidance to the local court and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) when a local court intends to establish or replace an alternative electronic court record system in lieu of using the statewide court record system and to facilitate statewide data sharing in support of judicial decision making and public safety.

(a) An "electronic court record system" is any electronic court data ~~records~~ technology system that is a source of statewide court data identified in the JIS Data Standards for Alternative Electronic Court Record Systems ("JIS Data Standards").

(b) Counties or cities may establish or replace local alternative electronic court record systems in compliance with procedures established by the ~~with the approval of the~~ Judicial Information System Committee (JISC). Counties or cities wishing to establish or replace a local alternative electronic ~~automated~~ court record system~~s~~ shall provide advance notice of the proposed development to the ~~Judicial Information System Committee~~ JISC and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) ~~Office of the Administrator for the Courts~~ at least 90 days prior to the start of the procurement process ~~commencement of such projects~~ for the purpose of compliance review. ~~and approval~~.

(c) Upon receipt of notice, AOC, on behalf of the JISC, will transmit to the local jurisdiction an information packet including, but not limited to, the JIS Data Standards, corresponding Implementation Plan, information on the IT Governance process, and the statewide data repository onboarding process. The local court and/or county clerk will meet with the ~~and~~ AOC ~~will meet~~ to discuss the information packet, the schedule for implementation, and ongoing obligations. The schedule for implementation shall be negotiated between the presiding judge or county clerk and AOC and should not have an implementation date of more than 12 months from the local jurisdiction's notice required in (b) above, unless agreed by the presiding judge or county clerk.

(d) After meeting with AOC to discuss the information packet, the presiding judge and/or county clerk will certify that they accept the obligation to comply with the JIS Data Standards and the corresponding Implementation Plan, to provide a system that will send the data to the statewide data repository, and to maintain and support the court's local system and the integration with the statewide data repository. Upon such certification from the local court and/or clerk, the AOC will approve the proposal.

(e) Individual courts and/or county clerks are responsible for arranging resources for implementing and maintaining locally procured electronic court record systems and for programming and testing local systems that interface with the statewide data repository.

(f) The court or clerk will supply data to the statewide data repository in accordance with the JIS Data Standards. Any exchange with the statewide data repository will contain the full and complete set of data in accordance with the JIS Data Standards. If state and local timelines do not align, the ~~JISC~~ AOC and the court or clerk may ~~approve~~ discuss a temporary reduced set of data that the court or clerk must provide and method of transmission until the data exchange with the local electronic court record system is ~~fully tested and~~ operational. Any reduced set of data determined ~~approved by the JISC~~ prior to the effective date of this rule will remain in effect until the data exchange with the local electronic court record system is operational.

(g) As soon as practicable after selection of an electronic court record system the court and/or clerk will provide a project schedule and a detailed plan for integration to the statewide data repository and will also provide ongoing updates and changes to the schedule and plan.

~~(h) Any unresolved disputes arising from this rule may be referred to the JISC for resolution, including possible sanction.~~

Comments:

This rule recognizes that early and frequent communication and collaboration between the local court and the AOC is essential for success. This rule also acknowledges that the Judicial Information System Committee (JISC) and the AOC set statewide information technology (IT) priorities through a JISC-adopted IT governance process.